

ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI GEOFISICA E VULCANOLOGIA





This presentation on the Trans-National Access (TNA) project titled

Validating the Swarm S4 index over Africa using the Eswua Database

(VSS4AED)

Author: Ola Abu Elezz, Ph.D TNA project, INGV Node

Participants:

INGV team: Claudio Cesaroni, Luca Spogli, Lucilla Alfonsi and Rayan Imam

SWMC team: Ola Abu Elzz and Ahmed Yassen (EJUST)

PITHIA-NRF 2nd TNA User Meeting, Brussels, 3rd June 2025

Outline

1 Abstract

- 2 Introduction and Objectives
- 3 Model Validation
- **4** Measured data and Model parameters
- **5** Comparing with the manual scaled ionograms.
- 6 Conclusion
 - 7 **Research outcome:** 7 **Publications**
- 8 The Role of PITHIA-NRF in My Project

Abstract

- The amplitude scintillation index S4 is a measure of the intensity fluctuations of Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) signals caused by ionospheric irregularities.
- Recently, The INGV proposed and designed a model to estimate S4 from the 16 Hz density data measured by the Swarm satellites.
- The model based on Rino's theory of weak scattering and the NeQuick2 model of the electron density profile.
- They used the Swarm data to derive the spectral slope and the variance of the electron density at the peak of the irregularity layer, which are the key parameters for the scintillation model.
- > Then they used the NeQuick2 model to reconstruct the irregularity layer.
- In this project, my contribution was to validate the INGV proposed model using Malindi observatory data that intersect with Swarm trajectories.

The INGV proposed model can provide global information on scintillation, especially in areas where ground-based GNSS receivers are unavailable.

The WAM scintillation model approach for high latitudes and for low latitudes procrdure:

- Assume virtual GNSS satellites and ground GNSS receivers that are coincident with Swarm trajectories.
- Compute the profile of the electron density from the virtual GNSS receivers on the ground to the GNSS satellites at 20,000 km over and below the Swarm path and altitude.

The NeQuick electron density profile
 is related to the ground-based Total Electron Content
 (TEC) measurements from the Madrigal database.

The importance of this choice for reliable results, used for the validation. use the same ray path that the ground-based GNSS scintillation receiver observed.



INGV Model's parameters



The Three NeQ-Ne profiles models

EXAMPLE OF NEQUICK2 PROFILES

NeQuick Profile 08-Sep-2017 15:00:13 at (70.032N, -65.4918E), R12: 23.2, Effective SSN: 55.7, alternative SSN: 100.7



© Rayan, INGV



SwarmA - 02-Sep-2021 20:47 --> 13-Apr-2023 17:02 -- L0=500 [m]

© Rayan, INGV



SwarmA - 06-Jul-2023 20:10 --> 28-Jan-2024 13:41 -- L0=500 [m] || L_{NeQuick} estimated from the profile using the TEC/NmF2 equation

© Rayan, INGV

Malindi Ionosonde

The Swarm S4 index using GNSS data collected from Malindi station in Africa, where ionospheric irregularities are more pronounced due to geomagnetic equatorial effects.

Ionosonde Station	Latitude	Longitude
Malindi	-3° N	40.2° E





The New Observatory for Real-time Ionospheric Sounding over Kenya-NORISK project (http://norisk.rm.ingv.it/)



Data availability

Malindi Ionosonde data started on 13/7/2023 up to now.

In the validation process:

2024

- ▶ We started our analysis by using the Autoscaled ionograms.
- The data range is from 5/8/2023 to 28/01/2024.

http://www.eswua.ingv.it/

1ai v. 202



DAILY DATA AVAILABILITY



Model validation

Methodology

- 1- Finding the time Synchronization (matching) between the 3 different instruments.
- 2- Compute the NmF2, hmF2 from the Iononsonde (Malindi station).
- 3- Compute slap thickness (L) from the NmF2 (ionosonde) and TEC from GPS measurements.
- 4-Calculating the mean and standard deviation values from each parameter (NmF2, hmF2 and L).

Time Matching



The reference time is the ionosonde epoch.



Comparing with the Autoscaled Ionograms

NmF2 Validation

➤The distribution of the modelled NmF2 as calculated from <u>3 NeQ models</u> with the NmF2 as observed from Malindi ionosonde.



hmF2 Validation

The distribution of the modelled hmF2 as calculated from <u>3 NeQ models</u> with the hmF2 as observed from Malindi ionosonde.



hmF2 iono and hmF2 diff

Slab thickness comparison

➤The distribution of the modelled L as calculated from <u>3 NeQ models</u> with the L as computed from L_assumption and L_equation (TEC_calculation).





Slab thickness comparison

Assumption 75%

➤The distribution of the modelled L_diff (assumption) as calculated from the 3
<u>NeQ models</u> with the L_GPS as observed from Malindi ionosonde.



Slab thickness comparison

➤The distribution of the modelled L_diff_eq (TEC/NmF2) as calculated from 3
<u>NeQ models</u> with the L_GPS as observed from Malindi ionosonde.

TEC_calculation

L_eq= TEC/NmF2 GPS slab thickness and L diff -0.99915 L diff SSN L diff diff ASSN -0.9992L diff diff dSSN -0.99925 -0.9993 -0.99935 L diff -0.9994 . -0.99945 * 2 -0.9995 -0.99955 -0.9996 -0.99965 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2 2.2 2.4 2.6 2.8 3 3.2 L GPS (meters) $\times 10^5$

Data comparing

Three input model parameters (L,NmF2 and hmF2):

> Data comparing: the Auto scale data

Parameter	diff_SSN_mean	diff_ASSN_mean	diff_dSSN_mean
L_diff	-0.49707	-0.49707	-0.49178
L_diff_eq	0.2538	0.22242	0.23166
NmF2_diff	-0.019704	-0.13407	-0.06175
hmF2_diff	0.096985	0.057585	0.06853
Parameter	diff_SSN_std	diff_ASSN_std	diff_dSSN_std
L_diff	0.0913	0.0802	0.0960
I diff og			
L_uni_eq	0.2480	0.2224	0.2533
NmF2_diff	0.2480 0.3696	0.2224 0.2849	0.2533 0.4486

Why we are thinking to include the manual scale data?



Comparing with the manual scaled ionograms

Manual scaled ionogram

> NmF2 as calculated from the validated ionograms, but not autoscaled.



Number of manual scaled ionogram= 566

Manual ionogram data

Matching manual scaled ionograms with Swarm measurements



Number of matched data between ionosonde with swarm = 5

Conclusion

- For the Auto scaled ionograms:
- The diff_ASSN_mean of three NeQ parameters (NmF2_ASSN,hmF2_ASSN, and L_ASSN) give the smallest values.
- ✓ The L_diff_ SSN values are similar to the L_diff_ASSN values.
- ✓ The diff_ASSN_std for the three NeQ parameters is the smallest value except for the (hmF2).
- For the Manual scaled ionograms:
- Due to the few matched ionograms with the swarm trajectories, we couldn't compute the statistical analysis on the data.

Research outcome

Publications:

- Validating the amplitude scintillation index from Swarm satellites faceplate measurements against the GNSS scintillation receiver measurements in Malindi, Conference paper, SIF National Congress 2024, Rome, Italy, 9 - 13, September 2024.
- Validating Swarm S4 index from the faceplate electron density measurements over a pair of collocated ionosonde and GNSS receivers in Sharjah, UAE, and Malindi, Kenya. Conference paper, Conference of 4th URSI Atlantic Radio Science Meeting, AT-RASC 2024, Gran Canaria, Spain, 19-24 May 2024. DOI: 10.46620/URSIATRASC24/PFRO1041

The Role of PITHIA-NRF in My Project

The Trans-National Access (TNA) is one of the objectives of the PITHIA-NRF project.

- ➢ I have attended two TNA calls:
- ✓ in 2024 at the INGV node (project: VSS4AED) and
- ✓ in 2025 at the NOA node (project: CATNPRO).
- PITHIA-NRF infrastructure was important in the successful accomplishment of my project, primarily by providing access to high-quality data, computational

resources, and expertise that were otherwise difficult to access.

The collaboration of the PITHIA-NRF TNA programme enhanced communication and the exchange of ideas and experience between researchers from different

institutes.

Acknowledgement

 I acknowledge the research infrastructures and the access provider TNA Node of INGV of the PITHIA-NRF project (https://www.pithianrf.eu/). The PITHIA-NRF project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101007599.